Zera Shimshon

by Rabbi Shimshon Chaim Nachmani zt"l Published Mantua 1778*

Chapter LVIII: Esther

Essay 9. The source of Esther's merit to approach the king

בְּרָרָשׁ עַל פָּסוּק "בַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי וַיִּשָּׂא אַבְרָהָם אֶת־עֵינָיו" וְכוּ', הָדָא הוּא דּכְתִיב "בַּיּוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי וַתִּלְבַּשׁ אֶסְתֵּר מַלְכוּת", בְּצִיזוֹ זְכוּת, רַבָּנִין אָמְרִי בִּזְכוּת יוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל מַתָּן תּוֹרָה, דְּכְתִיב "וַיְהִי בַיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי בְּהָיֹת הַבּּקֶר" וְכוּ', ור' לֵוִי אָמַר בְּאֵיזוֹ זְכוּת, רַבָּנִין אָמְרִי בָּזְכוּת יוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם דְּכְתִיב "בַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי וַיִּשָּׂא אַבְרָהָם אֶלִישִׁי עכ"ל.

There is a Midrash on the verse regarding the binding of Isaac, "On the third day, Abraham lifted his eyes":

This is [the meaning of] what is written [regarding Esther's decision to approach the king to appeal for the salvation of her people, following three days of fasting]: "On the third day, Esther put on royal apparel [and stood in the inner court of the king's palace, facing the king's palace, while the king was sitting on his royal throne in the throne room facing the entrance of the palace]." In what merit [did she dare to approach the king without being called, as this could have meant death]? The Rabbis say, in the merit [אוֹכְיִבוֹלוּת] [bi'zechut] of the third day of the giving of the Torah, as it is written "And it came to pass on the third day, when it was morning [there was thunder, and lightning, and a dense cloud upon the mountain, and a very loud blast of the horn; and all the people who were in the camp trembled]." Rabbi Levi said, in the merit [אוֹנְלְיִבוֹלוּת] [bi'zechuto] of the third day of [the narrative of] Abraham [and the binding of Isaac], as it is written, "On the third day, Abraham lifted his eyes," etc.

- Gen. Rabbah 56:1

^{*} English translation: Copyright © 2025 by Charles S. Stein. Additional essays are at https://www.zstorah.com

¹ Gen. 22:4.

² Esther 5:1.

³ Ex. 19:16.

קַשֶּׁה מַהוּ סְבָרָתוֹ שֶׁל ר' לֵוִי לַחֲלוֹק עִם רַבָּנָן, וַהֲלֹא זְכוּת הַתּוֹרָה הוּא זְכוּתָא דְּרַבִּים וּבְוַדַּאִי דְּהוּא חָשׁוּב יוֹתֵר בִּזְכוּתוֹ שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם. וְתֵּירֵץ הַיָּפֶה תֹּאַר שֶׁר' לֵוִי רָצָה לִלְמוֹד זֶה מִ"בֵּיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי" שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם לְפִי שֶׁקּוֹדֶם בְּתוֹרָה לַ"בַיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי" שָׁל מַתַּן תּוֹרָה. וְזֵה הַתִּירוּץ אֵינוֹ מַסְפִּיק.

This is difficult: What is Rabbi Levi's reasoning for disagreeing with the Rabbis? Isn't the merit of the Torah a merit of the masses, and certainly this is more important than the merit of one person, Abraham. The explanation given by the Yafeh To'ar is that Rabbi Levi wanted to learn from "on the third day" of the narrative of Abraham and the binding of Isaac because in the Torah this precedes "on the third day" of the giving of the Torah. However, this answer is not sufficient.

ְנָרָאָה לוֹמַר שֶׁר' לֵוִי לֹא בָּא לַחֲלוֹק אֶלָּא לְתָרֵץ מַה שֶׁיֵשׁ לְהַקְשׁוֹת עַל מִילְתַיִיהוּ דְּרַבָּנַן, שֻׁמַה שֶׁאָמְרוּ הֵם בִּזְכוּת יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שְׁרַבּי וָה הַפָּסוּק אֵינוֹ מְדַבֵּר אֶלָּא שֶׁבִּיוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי הָיוּ "לְלֹת וּבְרָקִים" שֶׁל מֵתָּן תּוֹרָה, קֵשֶׁה דְּמַה זְכוּת הוּא זֶה לְיִשְׁרָאֵל, שֶׁהְרֵא הָהָה אוֹמֵר בִּזְכוּת "וְהָיוּ נְכֹנִים לִיּוֹם הַשְׁלִישִׁי" נִיחָא, שֶׁהָיָה וְכֹּוּ עָבְשֶׁה שֶׁל הקב"ה וְלֹא שֶׁל יִשְׂרָאֵל, וּבִשְׁלָמָא אִם הָיָה אוֹמֵר בִּזְכוּת "וְהָיוּ נְכֹנִים לִיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי" נִיחָא, שֶׁהָיָה מַצְשֶׁה שָׁהַ כִּנִּה עַצְמָם לְכָּךְ, אֲבָל זֶה "בִּיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי" אֵינוֹ מַרְאָה שׁוּם זְכוּת לִישְׁרָאֵל וּמַאי טַעְּמִיְיהוּ דְּרַבְּנִין.

It seems we can say that Rabbi Levi is not coming to argue, but rather to explain what one can question about the words of the Rabbis, for why did they say that Esther's willingness to approach the king was in the merit of the third day of the giving of the Torah. This is difficult, for what is the merit of Israel in this? This verse only says that on the third day there was "thunder, and lightning, and a dense cloud upon the mountain, and a very loud blast of the horn," and all this was the work of the Holy One, Blessed be He, and not of Israel! Granted, if it had said in the merit of the earlier verse, "Let them be ready for the third day; for on the third day the L-rd will come down, in the sight of all the people, on Mount Sinai," then it would be fine, as it would mean in the merit that they prepared themselves for this. But this statement that it was in the merit of "on the third day, there was thunder, and lightning," does not appear to be any merit to Israel. What was the meaning of the rabbis?

וְלָכֵן בָּא ר' לֵוִי לְתָרֵץ בִּזְכוּתוֹ שֶׁל יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם, כְּלוֹמַר לָמָה זָכוּ יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁקַּדְמָה שְׁכִינָה לָבֹא בִּהְיוֹת הַבּּקֶר שֶׁל יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם דְּכְתִיב בֵּיה "וַיַּשְׁכֵּם אַבְרָהָם בַּבֹּקֶר", שֶׁנִּזְדָרֵז לְמִצְוַת בּוֹרְאוֹ, וְהַשְּׁתְא נִיחָא, דְּלָא פְּלִיגִי כְּלָל ר' לֵוִי וְרַבָּנִין. אֶלָּא ר' לֵוִי בָּא לְפָרֵשׁ דְּבְרֵיהֶם, וְאִין הָכִי נָמֵי שֶׁזְּכוּת יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל מַתָּן תּוֹרָה בָּא מָחֲמֵת אוֹתוֹ שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם. לָאֵסְתֵּר שְׁתִּמְצֵא חֵן בִּעֵינֵי הַמֵּלֶךְ, וְזָה שֶׁל מַתָּן תּוֹרָה בָּא מַחֲמֵת אוֹתוֹ שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם.

Therefore, Rabbi Levi comes to explain that it was in the merit of the third day of Abraham. That's as if to say, why did Israel merit that the Shechinah preceded to come on the morning of the third day? It was in the merit of the third day of Abraham, as it was written regarding him, after G-d commanded him to sacrifice Isaac, "And Abraham arose early in the morning," indicating that Abraham hastened to fulfill the command of his Creator.

⁴ Ex. 19:11.

⁵ Gen. 22:3.

Now this explanation makes sense, that there is no disagreement between Rabbi Levi and the Rabbis. Rather, Rabbi Levi came to explain their words. It is indeed so that the merit of the third day of the giving of the Torah led to Esther finding favor in the eyes of the king, and this merit was due to the earlier merit of Abraham.

ְוְנִיחָא שַׁפִּיר לִישָׁנֵיה דְּר' לֵוִי דְּלֹא אָמַר "בִּזְכוּת יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם" כְּמוֹ שֶׁאָמְרוּ רַבָּנִין "בִּזְכוּת יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל מַהָּן תּוֹרָה", אֶלָּא שַׁנֵּי בְּדִיבּוּרֵיה וְאָמַר "בִּזְכוּתוֹ שֶׁל יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל אַבְרָהָם", דְּהַיִינוּ שֶׁזָּה יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי שֶׁל מַהָּן תּוֹרָה בָּא עַל יִדִי יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי אַחֵר שֵׁל אַבְרָהָם שִׁנִזְּרֵז לְמִצְנַת בּוֹרְאוֹ, וְכַל הַזְּרִיזוּת אַנוּ לוֹמְדִים אוֹתוֹ מֵאַבְרָהָם.

The language of Rabbi Levi is perfectly fine, that he did not say, "In the merit [בּזְכוּתוֹ] [bi'zechut] of the third day of Abraham," as the Rabbis said "in the merit [bi'zechut] of the third day of the giving of the Torah." Rather, his wording is different, and he said, "in his merit [בַּנְכוּתוֹן] [bi'zechuto] of the third day of Abraham."

I.e., the Rabbis' language, בְּזְכוּת יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי, would translate literally to "in the merit [of] the third day of the giving of the Torah," while Rabbi Levi's language, בַּזְכוּתוֹ שֶׁל יוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי, would translate literally to "in his/its merit, of the third day, of Abraham." There is little substantive difference between the two wordings, but Rabbi Levi's choice of bi'zechuto, "in his/its merit" could lead one to decide that his intent was not to mean "it" as referring to the third day, but to mean "his" as referring to Abraham.

That is, with this understanding, this third day of the giving of the Torah, which was the immediate source of merit for Esther, itself came about because of the different third day, that of Abraham, who hastened to fulfill the will of his Creator. We learn the entirety of this service of G-d with alacrity from Abraham.⁶

* * *

⁶ Pesachim 4a: "It was taught [in a Baraita]: The the vigilant are early with mitzvot. As it is stated, 'And Abraham arose early in the morning.'"